

Appendix B(iv) I do not support the wider placemaking and connectivity proposals because

Please note comments are transcribed directly from the survey

Would prefer a different project

A sea pool would provide year round benefits to a wide cross section of the community.

Bringing people onto our high street for what ?! You need to draw in some decent shops first !Can you use this money to reduce shop holders rents to entice local artists to have a shop.Margate have done a wonderful job of regenerating their town like this.

feel a regeneration of Beachfields and the inclusion of a tidal pool will benefit a wide range of people on the island.

I understand the benefits but if we currently focus on the Tidal pool and getting the tidal pool started this can come after.

There absolutely needs to be better connectivity to the mainland, especially from Minster, Eastchurch, Leysdown etc. For students or people who don't drive and work or go to university, getting home from Sheerness station after 6.30 is almost impossible unless you get a taxi. This lowers the aspirations of our young people who would like to work off the island or learn and commute to university or colleges or even do work experience further afield. Access via public transport to Medway Hospital for the elderly or those who do not drive is equally challenging and the links here are inadequate. A train spur near Neats Court would be super!

WE NEED A PICTURE HOUSE WE NEED THE TOWN SORTING OUT THE RAILINGS THE

MESS EVERYWHERE, THE BEACH IS OUR BEST ATTRACTION BY FAR , IT JUST NEEDS PERHAPS LIGHTS GET RID OF THE TELESCOPE ON PROM WHICH PEOPLE PUT MONEY IN THEN IN A SECOND ITS GONE BECAUSE I HAVE SEEN IT HAPPEN PUT A RESTUARANT TOP OF SPORTS CENTRE LOVELY VIEWS

Support the principle and offering suggestions to develop it

Again really a YES! In principle yes. Not looking at how so much development has been allowed in the Island without a single thought to "Pedestrian first" or cycling, the scope seems so small!

I would suggest moving the carpark at from near the sea to near to McDs or removed completely (though having disabled parking). To reduce car movement through people areas etc.

More investment into the high street to create more revenue for the island without the councillors worrying about it destroying Sittingbourne!!

Overall yes but I think you should look at barriers to people using the town - such as parking costs etc No one will use the facilities if the structure isn't in place for people to park cheaply or common sense cycle paths !

Not enough detail provided to take a view

This was not detailed in the public meeting in Halfway and we are not sure why as that was a public consultation. We are keen that the bid should help the community connected and should benefit those struggling the most.

Not clear what this actually means

I couldn't find any thing relating to this

It is unclear what this will look like so cannot support it

Objection but no explanation offered

Waste of money.

Ridiculous, people work hard

It's great to get the £20 million to enhance the rubbish island we now have , who would ever want to come and visit this god forsaken place ? Instead of wasting all of that money on things that will not do anything for the island or benefit it all take away any ideas that Swale Borough Council has and let the people of Sheppey decide . Take a look at Witstable, Herne Bay and many other seaside towns that make Sheppey look just what it is , a dirty disgusting eyesore , what little shops we have are cafes takeaways charity shops and bloody hairdressers , why would anyone want to travel to see we have nothing to offer and who is to blame the council and its great leaders those who are supposed to have our best interests, this shower are a disgrace and should resign in shame

More project work is needed before we can focus on the bigger picture

Concentrate on the small picture first

Connectivity should be provided when our island has been made more appealing to visitors by companies who want to invest sea defences should be petitioned for the rest of island

let's just concentrate on our area for now - it needs maximum spend

Not enough car parking for residents to promote visitation

I am unnerved by the idea of attracting more people to the island given the severe lack of parking available to residents of Sheerness.

This will be a natural outcome of the other projects

With our seaside becoming more attractive there will be a natural connectivity and wider benefits

Would prefer the bid to focus on a different geography

I would like to see more support given to Barton's Point Coastal Park area. Would like to see more thought given to the expansion of the use for tourism.